IH-32

Rev: 2014-1

United States District Court Southern District of New York Related Case Statement

Full Caption of	Later Filed Case:
Mattel, Inc.	
	٦
Plaintiff	Case Number
vs.	18 CV 8824
AnimeFun Store, et al.	edf estava engina euckong bris harris edi Lott et montanten in tertang engine
	times are resiment social and the smoothing
nn van engagen in tipe mer squig een me mer soesammer dadoodebe sens bare an <u>io</u>	e dia someta propositione becali inforteduc
Defendant	so allow of part to
Full Caption of E	Earlier Filed Case:
(including in bankruptcy appeals	the relevant adversary proceeding)
Mattel, Inc.	
Plaintiff	Case Number
vs.	18-cv-8821
1622758984, et al.	. = 0
	1
Defendant	the beautiful and all the second

Rev: 2014-1

Status of Earlier File	ed Case:	
Closed	(If so, set forth the procedure which resulted in closure, e.g., voluntary dismissal, settlement, court decision. Also, state whether there is an appeal pending.)	

(If so, set forth procedural status and summarize any court rulings.)

The first action was filed on September 26, 2018.

IH-32

Explain in detail the reasons for your position that the newly filed case is related to the earlier filed case.

Plaintiff respectfully submits that the current matter is related to the earlier filed case. Both the current and previous actions involve the same intellectual property rights, the claims in both actions arise from like transactions by defendants on similar online marketplace platforms and both actions involve the same plaintiff and like defendants. There is a substantial factual overlap in that the defendants are engaged in their infringing activity, which is directed and targeted towards the same consumers, during the same time period, in both actions.

Additionally, Plaintiff believes that absent a determination of relatedness, there exists the possibility for conflicting orders and a duplication of judicial resources on the part of the Court. Defendants' online storefronts on the online marketplace platforms share unique identifiers, including, but not limited to, design elements of storefronts themselves as well as descriptions of the infringing goods at issue, leading Plaintiff to believe that there may be common defendants across both actions.

Signature:

, Epstein Drangel LLI

60 East 42nd Street, Ste. 2520, NY, NY 10165

Firm:

Date: 9/26/18

Page 2